**Research Proposal.** Orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) dysfunction is a consistent neuropathology that underlies aberrant and inflexible behaviors that occur in many disorders of compulsivity such as cocaine addiction12, and obsessive-compulsive disorder3. For exampleduring reversal learning, which correlates with of the task structure Such activity in OFC is thought to encode a cognitive map of state space4, however in a typical reversal learning task, features of the task that identify task-state specific information critical to cognitive mapping are confounded with actual changes in cue-reward relationships, temporal order, and behavioral inhibition, each of which are also proposed as OFC functions7. Therefore, it is unclear whether cocaine use disrupts accurate representations of task states in OFC that are necessary for flexible behavior in reversal learning tasks. Occasion setting (OS) tasks share many features with reversal learning tasks but can be used to isolate the neural correlates of cognitive map representations by using explicit cues to signal cognitive map changes. Here I will use an OS task to directly test whether a history of cocaine use causes inflexible behavior by disrupting cognitive map representations in OFC, and whether any such effects can be mitigated by D3 antagonists, which are proposed as a novel pharmacotherapy for cocaine addiction.

**Aim 1. Determine whether remapping of task representations in OFC during OS is disrupted in rats with a history of cocaine use.** Rats will undergo a cocaine self-administration procedure known to cause OFC dysfunction and behavioral inflexibility5,6 or a sucrose self-administration control. After a withdrawal period, these two groups of rats will be implanted with microelectrodes targeting OFC and trained on an occasion setting (OS) task. Analyses will focus on identifying correlates of cognitive mapping in OFC and testing whether they are disrupted by prior cocaine use.

**Aim 2. Test whether a novel D3-antagonist can mitigate impaired behavioral flexibility and associated changes in neural correlates in OFC in cocaine treated rats.** Rats will undergo the same procedure described in Aim 1, except that prior to each OS training session, half the rats in each group will receive injections of vehicle or the selective D3-antagonist VK4-1168,9. Analyses will focus on whether a novel drug therapy can improve behavioral flexibility, whether improvement is specific to cocaine-experienced rats, and how it relates to changes in neural correlates in OFC caused by cocaine use.

**Significance.** Disturbances to OFC function and deficits in reversal learning, a marker of behavioral inflexibility, are consistent features of many disorders of compulsivity in patients as well as translational animal models10–12. Drug-induced deficits in reversal learning in animal models of addiction are thought to reflect deficits in the creation and maintenance of cognitive map representations in OFC 4. Key support for this comes from studies from this lab showing that rats with a history of cocaine use, known to cause compulsivity, exhibit inflexible behavior in reversal learning tasks and have impoverished and inflexible neural representations in OFC5,6,14. However, in these tasks, the signal to switch to a new cognitive map - the reversal - is confounded with changes in the actual cue-reward associations. As a result, representations of cognitive maps in OFC cannot be disentangled from representations of the new associations, representation of value, or even response inhibition, each of which has also been argued to depend on OFC.

To resolve these confounds and more specifically identify whether OFC supports flexible behavior through a mapping function, it is necessary to use a behavioral approach that dissociates the cue that triggers changes in the task map being used from these other features. One such task is occasion setting (**OS**). In an OS task, subjects are presented with a sequence of two discrete cues, first an OS cue which indicates whether a second target cue predicts reward i.e. OS -> Target -> Reward (Figure 1). For example, target cue A is rewarded on X -> A+ trials, and non-rewarded on Y-> A- trials. This creates two cue-reward maps similar to the alternative maps that might be used in rapid reversal learning, except they are signaled by the X and Y cues, which isolate the information relevant to the alternative map states and are not confounded by changes in associative learning, value, or responding.


Here I will use an OS task modeled after the reversal task used in prior work to test whether reversal learning deficits in rats with a history of cocaine reflect impoverished cognitive map representations in OFC. If this is established, I will then test the efficacy of VK4-116, a promising D3 antagonist, to treat this behavioral inflexibility, and its neural correlates in OFC, in cocaine experienced rats. been shown to have These findings will advance our understanding of how OFC dysfunction contributes to behavioral inflexibility in disorders of compulsivity, and how such dysfunction might be treated.

**Experiment 1.**

Hypothesis: A history of cocaine use causes behavioral inflexibility in tasks like reversal learning and OS by disrupting the formation and accuracy of cognitive map representations in the OFC.

Predictions: Behavioral accuracy in an OS task will correlate with the strength and fidelity of cognitive map representations, such that more distinct cognitive map representations will predict higher behavioral accuracy. Furthermore, rats with a history of cocaine use will have lower behavioral accuracy and less distinct representations in OFC compared to control rats.

Procedure: Long Evans rats will undergo a standard cocaine (n = 8) or sucrose (n = 8) control self-administration protocol for 2 weeks followed by 30 days of withdrawal5. Rats will then be water deprived and given standard pretraining to become familiar with responding for odors and 10% sucrose reward in behavioral testing chambers. Next, drivable microelectrodes will be implanted in OFC to record neural activity according to established lab procedures15. Following recovery, rats will be trained with a novel set of cues on the OS task outlined in Figure 1. On each trial, the rat will initiate cue presentation by entering the odor port, then a brief auditory cue (1000 ms) followed by an odor (500 ms) will be presented. On rewarded trials, responding to the food port below the odor port will be rewarded. Correct performance will be defined as entering the food port on rewarded trials and withholding responding on non-rewarded trials. Each session will consist of 25 presentations of each trial type, presented in pseudorandom order. Criterion accuracy will be defined as 75% accuracy in a session, acquisition as criterion in 3 sessions in a row. Neural analyses will focus on sessions post-acquisition.

******Neural activity will be processed using methods established for analyzing activity in prior work in similar tasks6,16. Analyses will examine both single-unit and population level neural correlates of task features expected to reflect aspects of cognitive map representations. I will define the strength of unique state representations as, for example, the proportion of single units that selectively increase firing to one of these cue conditions, or the percentage accuracy of a classifier to predict which cue was presented on a given trial.

***Figure 1.*** Proposed occasion setting (OS) task design. *(Left)* On each trial, OS cues X and Y uniquely identify whether the following Target cue (A-D) predicts reward (+) or no reward (-). *(Right)* Cognitive map illustration of task structure predicted in OFC representations.

**Expected Results.** Given the hypothesis that activity in OFC reflects cognitive map representations rather than isolated cue-reward value learning, I expect unique neural representations that discriminate between OS cues X and Y. Cues X and Y do not differ in predicting the next cue, responding, or whether the trial will be rewarded, so differential activity cannot reflect value or even simple associative information. Differences might reflect the distinct physical properties of X and Y; to rule out this possibility I will compare activity between the target cues that come after cues X and Y, that is A+ vs A-, B- vs B+, C+ vs C-, and D- vs D+. Differential activity to A+ vs A- must reflect information about future reward based on whether the previous cue was X or Y, i.e. a unique state/position along a path within a cognitive map. If activity to OS cues in OFC signals which cognitive map to use to correctly interpret the meaning of the target cues, then more accurate/unique representations of OS cues X and Y will predict more accurate/unique representations of target cues A-D on rewarded vs non-rewarded trials. Together, these analyses can determine the strength and accuracy of the neural correlates of cognitive maps i.e. differential representation of (1) OS cues X vs Y and (2) target cues on rewarded vs non-rewarded trials (A+ vs A-, B- vs B+, C+ vs C-, D- vs D+), and (3) the correlation between them. Additionally, for each of the three neural correlates described above, stronger correlates of cognitive map representations should predict higher behavioral accuracy in a given session or portion of a session.

By contrast, if cocaine experience disrupts flexible behavior by affecting the mapping function of OFC, then cocaine experienced rats should require more sessions to learn the OS task to criterion accuracy compared to control rats, their post-criterion performance may be less accurate than controls, and the three neural correlates of cognitive maps in OFC will show reduced fidelity and/or correlations in cocaine rats compared to control rats.

**Experiment 2.**

Procedure: I will use the same procedure described in Experiment 1, except that sucrose and cocaine groups will receive an injection of either vehicle (25% 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin) or VK4-116 (15 mg/kg, i.p.) 15 mins prior to each OS session, i.e. four groups (n = 8 each), sucrose/vehicle, sucrose/VK4-116, cocaine/vehicle, and cocaine/VK4-116.

Expected results: In cocaine rats,VK4-116 is predicted to mitigate the behavioral inflexibility and underlying disturbances to cognitive map representations in OFC described in Experiment 1, making cocaine/VK4-116 more similar to sucrose/vehicle. Of relevance in interpreting any effect will be whether VK4-116 affects behavior or cognitive map representations in sucrose control rats. This will indicate whether VK4-116 generally promotes behavioral flexibility, or if it is treating the specific impairments induced by a history of cocaine use. It is also possible that VK4-116 will only treat behavior but not disrupted OFC representations in cocaine rats. This would suggest that a different target system is being affected.

***Alternative strategies, pitfalls and future directions.*** One possible outcomeis that rats pretreated with cocaine will not show behavioral deficits and/or their neural correlates in OFC. While this is unlikely, this finding would still provide interesting and meaningful information that address aim 1. In this scenario, I will also use this established reversal task to assess the treatment efficacy of VK4-116 in Exp 2.

**References**

1. Volkow ND, Fowler JS. Addiction, a disease of compulsion and drive: Involvement of the orbitofrontal cortex. Cereb Cortex. 2000;10(3):318–325. PMID: 10731226

2. Lucantonio F, Stalnaker TA, Shaham Y, Niv Y, Schoenbaum G. The impact of orbitofrontal dysfunction on cocaine addiction. Nat Neurosci. Nature Publishing Group; 2012;15(3):358–366. PMID: 22267164

3. Graybiel AM, Rauch SL. Toward a neurobiology of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Neuron [Internet]. 2001/01/06. 2000 Nov;28(2):343–347. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11144344 PMID: 11144344

4. Wilson RC, Takahashi YK, Schoenbaum G, Niv Y. Orbitofrontal cortex as a cognitive map of task space. Neuron [Internet]. 2014;81(2):267–279. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24462094 PMID: 24462094

5. Calu DJ, Stalnaker TA, Franz TM, Singh T, Shaham Y, Schoenbaum G. Withdrawal from cocaine self-administration produces long-lasting deficits in orbitofrontal-dependent reversal learning in rats. Learn Mem. 2007 May 1;14(5):325–8. PMID: 17522022

6. Schoenbaum G, Saddoris MR, Ramus SJ, Shaham Y, Setlow B. Cocaine-experienced rats exhibit learning deficits in a task sensitive to orbitofrontal cortex lesions. Eur J Neurosci. 2004;19(7):1997–2002. PMID: 15078575

7. Stalnaker TA, Cooch NK, Schoenbaum G. What the orbitofrontal cortex does not do. Nat Neurosci [Internet]. 2015 May 28;18(5):620–7. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/nn.3982 PMID: 25919962

8. Newman AH, Ku T, Jordan CJ, Bonifazi A, Xi ZX. New Drugs, Old Targets: Tweaking the Dopamine System to Treat Psychostimulant Use Disorders. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2021;61:609–628. PMID: 33411583

9. Kumar V, Bonifazi A, Ellenberger MP, Keck TM, Pommier E, Rais R, Slusher BS, Gardner E, You ZB, Xi ZX, Newman AH. Highly selective dopamine D3 receptor (D3R) antagonists and partial agonists based on eticlopride and the D3R crystal structure: New leads for opioid dependence treatment. J Med Chem. 2016;59(16):7634–7650. PMID: 27508895

10. Izquierdo A, Jentsch JD. Reversal learning as a measure of impulsive and compulsive behavior in addictions. Psychopharmacology (Berl) [Internet]. 2012 Jan;219(2):607–20. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3249486/pdf/nihms344051.pdf PMID: 22134477

11. Schoenbaum G, Shaham Y. The role of orbitofrontal cortex in drug addiction: a review of preclinical studies. Biol Psychiatry [Internet]. 2007/08/28. 2008;63(3):256–262. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17719014 PMID: 17719014

12. Remijnse PL, Nielen MMA, Van Balkom AJLM, Cath DC, Van Oppen P, Uylings HBM, Veltman DJ. Reduced orbitofrontal-striatal activity on a reversal learning task in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2006;63(11):1225–1236. PMID: 17088503

13. Pitman RK. A cybernetic model of obsessive-compulsive psychopathology. Compr Psychiatry. 1987;28(4):334–343. PMID: 3608467

14. Lucantonio F, Takahashi YK, Hoffman AF, Chang CY, Bali-Chaudhary S, Shaham Y, Lupica CR, Schoenbaum G. Orbitofrontal activation restores insight lost after cocaine use. Nat Neurosci. Nature Publishing Group; 2014;17(8):1092–1099. PMID: 25042581

15. Roesch MR, Stalnaker TA, Schoenbaum G. Associative encoding in anterior piriform cortex versus orbitofrontal cortex during odor discrimination and reversal learning. Cereb Cortex [Internet]. 2007;17(3):643–652. Available from: http://cercor.oxfordjournals.org/content/17/3/643.full.pdf PMID: 16699083

16. Zhou J, Jia C, Montesinos-Cartagena M, Gardner MPH, Zong W, Schoenbaum G. Evolving schema representations in orbitofrontal ensembles during learning. Nature [Internet]. Springer US; 2021 Feb 25;590(7847):606–611. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-03061-2 PMID: 33361819

17. You ZB, Bi GH, Galaj E, Kumar V, Cao J, Gadiano A, Rais R, Slusher BS, Gardner EL, Xi ZX, Newman AH. Dopamine D3R antagonist VK4-116 attenuates oxycodone self-administration and reinstatement without compromising its antinociceptive effects. Neuropsychopharmacology [Internet]. Springer US; 2019;44(8):1415–1424. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018-0284-5 PMID: 30555159